

# Risk & Safety in Engineering

Dr. Jochen Köhler

### **Contents of Today's Lecture**

#### Methods of structural reliability theory

- General case FORM
- Monte-Carlo simulation
- Partial safety factors



### **Structural Reliability Analysis**

In the general case the resistance and the load may be defined in terms of functions where *X* are basic random variables

The safety margin can be written as where g(x) is called the limit state function

$$R = f_1(\mathbf{X})$$
$$S = f_2(\mathbf{X})$$

$$M = R - S = f_1(\mathbf{X}) - f_2(\mathbf{X}) = g(\mathbf{X})$$

Failure occurs when

 $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ 

### **Structural Reliability Analysis**

Setting  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  defines a (n-1) dimensional surface in the space spanned by the *n* basic variables *X* 

This is the failure surface separating the sample space of *X* into a safe domain and a failure domain

The failure probability may in general terms be written as

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \le 0$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0$$

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0$$

 $P_f = \int_{\Omega_f = \{g(\mathbf{x}) \le 0\}} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ 



The probability of failure can be assessed by

where  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$  is the joint probability density function for the basic random variables *X* 

For the 2-dimensional case the failure probability simply corresponds to the integral under the joint probability density function in the area of failure



The probability of failure can be calculated using

numerical integration
 (Simpson, Gauss, Tchebyschev)

but for problems involving dimensions higher than say 6 the numerical integration becomes cumbersome

$$P_f = \int_{\Omega_f = \{g(\mathbf{x}) \le 0\}} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

#### Other methods are necessary !



When the limit state function is linear

 $g(\mathbf{x}) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot x_i$ 

the saftey margin M is defined through

with

mean value

and

variance



$$\mu_{M} = a_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \mu_{X_{i}}$$

$$\sigma_{M}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} \sigma_{X_{i}}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \rho_{ij} a_{i} a_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}$$



The failure probability can then be written as

The reliability index is defined as

$$P_{F} = P(g(\mathbf{X}) \le 0) = P(M \le 0)$$
  
$$\beta = \frac{\mu_{M}}{\sigma_{M}} \qquad \text{(Basler and Cornell)}$$
  
$$f_{M}(m)$$
  
$$f_{M}(m)$$
  
$$m$$

Provided that the safety margin is Normal distributed the failure probability is determined as

 $P_{_F}=\Phi(-\beta)$ 



#### **Example:**

Consider a steel rod with resistance *r* subjected to a tension force *s* 

*r* and *s* are modeled by the random variables *R* and *S* 

The probability of failure is wanted

The safety margin is

The reliability index is then

and the probability of failure

ETH Swiss Federal Institute of Technology

$$g(\mathbf{X}) = R - S$$

 $\mathbf{D} / \mathbf{D}$ 

$$\mu_R = 350, \sigma_R = 35$$
$$\mu_S = 200, \sigma_S = 40$$

 $\alpha < \alpha$ 

$$P(R-S \le 0)$$

$$M = R - S \quad \begin{cases} \mu_M = 350 - 200 = 150 \\ \sigma_M = \sqrt{35^2 + 40^2} = 53.15 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta = \frac{150}{53.15} = 2.84$$

$$P_F = \Phi(-2.84) = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

#### The problem of lack of invariance

The estimate of the failure probability depends on the formulation of the safety margin / limit state function:

for the previous example:

$$\mu_R = 350, \sigma_R = 35$$
$$\mu_S = 200, \sigma_S = 40$$

$$M = R - S \qquad \qquad M = \ln \frac{R}{S} = \ln R - \ln S$$

$$\beta = \frac{\mu_R - \mu_S}{\sqrt{\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_S^2}} \qquad \beta' = \frac{\mu_{\ln(R/S)}}{\sigma_{\ln(R/S)}} \cong \frac{\ln \mu_R - \ln \mu_s}{\sqrt{V_R^2 + V_S^2}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{150}{53.15} = 2.84 \qquad \beta' \simeq \frac{\ln(350) - \ln(200)}{\sqrt{(35/350)^2 + (40/200)^2}} = 2.5$$

- The reliability problem is generalized in order:
  - To resolve the lack of invariance problem
  - To facilitate the estimation of failure probabilities based on non-linear limit state functions
  - To facilitate the consideration of correlated random variables
  - To facilitate the consideration of non-normal random variables

The reliability index  $\beta$  has the geometrical interpretation of being the shortest distance between the failure surface and the origin in standard Normal distributed space U

$$U_i = \frac{X_i - \mu_{X_i}}{\sigma_{X_i}}$$

in which case the components of U have zero means and variances equal to 1





Usually the limit state function is non-linear

- this small phenomenon caused the so-called invariance problem

Hasofer & Lind suggested to linearize the limit state function in the design point

- this solved the invariance problem

The reliability index may then be determined by the following optimization problem Can however easily be linearized !



The optimization problem can be formulated as an iteration problem

- 1) the design point is determined as
- 2) the normal vector to the limit state function is determined as

- 3) the safety index is determined as
- 4) a new design point is determined as
- 5) the above steps are continued until convergence in  $\beta$  is attained

### **ETH** Swiss Federal Institute of Technology



 $\mathbf{u}^* = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 

$$\alpha_i = \frac{-\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_i}(\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_i}(\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})^2\right]^{1/2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, ... n$$

$$g(\beta \cdot \alpha_1, \beta \cdot \alpha_2, \dots \beta \cdot \alpha_n) = 0$$

$$u^* = (\beta \cdot \alpha_1, \beta \cdot \alpha_2, \dots \beta \cdot \alpha_n)^T$$

**Example :** 

Consider the steel rod with cross-sectional area *a* and yield stress *r* 

The rod is loaded with the tension force s

The limit state function can then be written as

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = r \cdot a - s$$

 $h = r \cdot a$ 

*r*, *a* and *s* are uncertain and modeled by normal distributed random variables

we would like to calculate the probability of failure

$$\mu_R = 350, \sigma_R = 35$$
  $\mu_S = 1500, \sigma_S = 300$   
 $\mu_A = 10, \sigma_A = 1$ 

# Example (cont.)

The initial step is to transform the basic random variables into standardized Normal distributed space.

Then we write the limit state function in terms of the realizations of the standardized Normal distributed random variables.

$$U_{R} = \frac{R - \mu_{R}}{\sigma_{R}} \qquad U_{A} = \frac{A - \mu_{A}}{\sigma_{A}} \qquad U_{S} = \frac{S - \mu_{S}}{\sigma_{S}}$$

$$g(u) = (u_R \sigma_R + \mu_R)(u_A \sigma_A + \mu_A) - (u_S \sigma_S + \mu_S)$$
$$= (35u_R + 350)(u_A + 10) - (300u_S + 1500)$$
$$= 350u_R + 350u_A - 300u_S + 35u_Ru_A + 2000$$

Iteration: 1) Arbitrary first design point:

2) Normal vector at this point:

$$\mathbf{u}^* = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} \quad \text{e.g.} \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = 3, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.58, \ -0.58, \ 0.58 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$\alpha_i = \frac{-\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_i} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_i} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})^2\right]^{1/2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..n \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{\alpha}^{(k+1)}$$

**3)** the safety index is determined as  $g(\beta \cdot \alpha_1, \beta \cdot \alpha_2, ..., \beta \cdot \alpha_n) = 0$ 

4) a new design point is determined as  $u^* = (\beta \cdot \alpha_1, \beta \cdot \alpha_2, ..., \beta \cdot \alpha_n)^T$ 

# Example (cont.)

The reliability index is calculated as

the components of the  $\alpha$ -vector are then calculated as

$$\beta = \frac{-2000}{350\alpha_R + 350\alpha_A - 300\alpha_S + 35\beta\alpha_R\alpha_A}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_R = -\frac{1}{k}(350 + 35\beta\alpha_A) \\ \alpha_A = -\frac{1}{k}(350 + 35\beta\alpha_R) \\ \alpha_S = \frac{300}{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$k \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha_R^2 + \alpha_A^2 + \alpha_S^2} = 1$$



Following the iteration scheme we get the following iteration history

Iteration	Start	1	2	3	4	5
β	3.0000	3.6719	3.7399	3.7444	3.7448	3.7448
$\alpha_{R}$	-0.5800	-0.5701	-0.5612	-0.5611	-0.5610	-0.5610
$\alpha_{\rm A}$	-0.5800	-0.5701	-0.5612	-0.5611	-0.5610	-0.5610
$\alpha_{\rm S}$	0.5800	0.5916	0.6084	0.6086	0.6087	0.6087











**SORM Improvements** 



Simulation methods may also be used to solve the integration problem

- *1.*  $n_{MCS}$  realizations  $\hat{x}_i$  of the vector *X* are generated
- 2. for each realization the value of the limit state function is evaluated
- 3. the realizations where the limit state function is zero or negative are counted
- 4. the failure probability is estimated as



- Estimation of failure probabilities using Monte Carlo Simulation
  - *m* random outcomes of R und S are generated and the number of outcomes n<sub>f,MCS</sub> in the failure domain are recorded and summed
  - The failure probability *p<sub>MCS</sub>* is then

$$\Pr(F) \approx p_{MCS} = \frac{n_{f,MCS}}{n_{MCS}}$$



- Estimation of failure probabilities using Monte Carlo Simulation
  - Uncertainty

$$\sigma_{MCS} = \sqrt{\Pr(F) - \Pr(F)^2 / n_{MCS}}$$
$$\sigma_{MCS} \approx \sqrt{p_{MCS} - p_{MCS}^2 / n_{MCS}}$$



#### **Partial safety factors**

Design codes prescribe design equations where the design variables (e.g. crosssections) are to be determined as a function of

- Characteristic values
- Partial safety factors

The design variables are selected such that the design equation is close to zero

$$zR_c / \gamma_m - \left(\gamma_{G_a}G_c + \gamma_Q Q_C\right) = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R_C & G_C & Q_C \\ \gamma_m & \gamma_G & \gamma_Q \end{array}$$





#### Example

Iteration	Start	1	2	3	4	5
β	3.0000	3.6719	3.7399	3.7444	3.7448	3.7448
$\alpha_{\rm R}$	-0.5800	-0.5701	-0.5612	-0.5611	-0.5610	-0.5610
$\alpha_{\rm A}$	-0.5800	-0.5701	-0.5612	-0.5611	-0.5610	-0.5610
$\alpha_{\rm S}$	0.5800	0.5916	0.6084	0.6086	0.6087	0.6087

$$\mu_{R} = 350, \sigma_{R} = 35$$
  
 $\mu_{A} = 10, \sigma_{A} = 1$   
 $\mu_{S} = 1500, \sigma_{R} = 300$ 

Design value for r

 $r_d = u_R^* \cdot \sigma_R + \mu_R = -0.561 \cdot 3.7448 \cdot 35 + 350.0 = 276.56$ 

Characteristic value for r

**Partial safety factor** 

$$r_c = -1.64 \cdot \sigma_R + \mu_R = -1.64 \cdot 35 + 350 = 292.60$$
$$\gamma_R = \frac{292.60}{276.56} = 1.06$$