Risk and Safety in Engineering

Dr. Jochen Köhler Swiss Federal Institute of Technology ETH Zurich, Switzerland



Contents of Today's Lecture

- Introduction to structural systems reliability
- General systems reliability analysis
- Mechanical modelling of systems
- Reliability analysis for structural systems
- Risk based assessment of structural robustness

Introduction to structural systems reliability

Until now we have focused on the reliability of individual failure modes

- Reliability analyses of components



This problem complex is addressed by the theory of

- Structural systems reliability analysis



С

Ε



Probabilistic characteristics of systems

Block diagrams are normally used in the representation of systems in structural systems reliability analysis

Each component in the block diagrams represent one failure mode for the structure

a) series systemb) parallel systemc) mixed system





Uncorrelated components

The failure probability of a series system may be determined by

The failure probability of a parallel system may be determined by

$$P_F = 1 - P_S = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - P(F_i))$$

$$P_F = \prod_{i=1}^n P(F_i)$$

Correlated components

If the individual components of the system have linear and Normal distributed safety margins

The failure probability of a series system may be determined by

The failure probability of a parallel system may be determined by

$$P_F = 1 - P_S = 1 - \Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\rho})$$

 $P_F = \Phi_n(-\beta, \rho)$



Simple bounds on systems reliability

The failure probability of a series system may be bounded by

$$\max_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ P(F_i) \right\} \le P_F \le 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - P(F_i))$$

Full correlation

Full correlation

Unconelated

The failure probability of a parallel system may be bounded by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(F_i) \le P_F \le \min_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ P(F_i) \right\}$$

Uncorrelated

Full correlation



Example

We consider a structural system for which failure is represented by the following block diagram

The components have the following failure probabilities

The components may be correlated



$$P(F_1) = P(F_2) = P(F_4) = 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$$
$$P(F_3) = P(F_5) = P(F_6) = 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$$



Example

How can we in a simplified manner analyse such a mixed system of series and parallel systems in combination

We can reduce it into subsystems sequentially: either into series systems or parallel systems





Systems reliability analysis

Example

If we assume uncorrelated components we have

$$P(5 \cup 6) = 1 - (1 - 1 \cdot 10^{-5})^2 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$P(4 \cap \{5 \cup 6\}) = 1 \cdot 10^{-2} \times 2 \cdot 10^{-5} = 2 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

 $P(1 \cap 2 \cap 3) = (1 \cdot 10^{-2})^2 (1 \cdot 10^{-5}) = 1 \cdot 10^{-9}$



$$P_{S,\rho=0} = P(\{1 \cap 2 \cap 3\} \cup \{4 \cap \{5 \cup 6\}\}) = 1 - (1 - 2 \cdot 10^{-7})(1 - 1 \cdot 10^{-9}) = 2.01 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

Systems reliability analysis

Example

If we assume correlated components we have

$$P(5 \bigcup 6) = \max(1 \cdot 10^{-5}, 1 \cdot 10^{-5}) = 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$P(4 \cap \{5 \cup 6\}) = \min(1 \cdot 10^{-2}, 1 \cdot 10^{-5}) = 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

 $P(1 \cap 2 \cap 3) = \min(1 \cdot 10^{-2}, 1 \cdot 10^{-2}, 1 \cdot 10^{-5}) = 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$

$$\cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$= 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$\cdot 10^{-5} = 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$1 - 4 - 5 - 6$$

$$- 4 - 5 - 6$$

$$- 1 - 4 - 5 - 6$$

$$- 1 - 2 - 4 - 5 - 6$$

$$- 1 - 2 - 4 - 5 - 6$$

$$P_{S,\rho=1} = P(\{1 \cap 2 \cap 3\} \cup \{4 \cap \{5 \cup 6\}\}) = \max(1 \cdot 10^{-5}, 1 \cdot 10^{-5})$$
$$P_{S,\rho=1} = 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

The simple bounds are $2.01 \cdot 10^{-7} \le P_s \le 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Mechanical modelling of structural systems

The behaviour of structural failure modes after failure is important for the safety of the system

Two extreme cases are

- ductile components
- brittle components



Brittle behaviour



Parallel systems with ductile components

Assume a parallel system with *n* ductile components

The second order statistics of the strength are then given by

$$\mu_{R_{S}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{R_{i}} \qquad \sigma_{R_{S}}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{R_{S}}^{2}$$

Furthermore we have that the strength is Normal distributed using the central limit theorem

If
$$\mu_{R_1} = \mu_{R_2} = ... = \mu_{R_n} = \mu$$
 and $\sigma_{R_1} = \sigma_{R_2} = ... = \sigma_{R_n} = \sigma$ then we have:

$$COV = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \mu}$$

- Despite modernization of design codes the engineering profession is still facing problems in terms of
 - collapsing structures and building
 - steady increase of insured damages



• Examples of collapses

Bad Reichenhall Germany, 2006





• Examples of collapses

Siemens arena Denmark, 2003





• Examples of collapses

Oklahoma City bombing USA, 1995





• Examples of collapses

World Trade Center USA, 2001





• Examples of collapses

Charles de Gaulle France, 2004





• Losses due to building failures



Source: SECO figures covering 800 losses. Combines results from 2 separate papers, published in the 1990s by the WTCB (Belgian Building Research Institute) as well as Matouzek and Schneider



Insured losses due to building failures

IRV Interkantonaler Rückversicherungsverband, Switzerland



Quelle: Schadenstatistik VKF



What is understood as robustness?

Structural Standards	The consequences of structural failure are not disproportional to the effect causing the failure [2].		
Software Engineering	The abilityto react appropriately to abnormal circumstances (i.e., circumstances "outside of specifications"). A system may be correct without being robust [17].		
Product Development and QC	The measure of the capacity of a production process to remain unaffected by small but deliberate variations of internal parameters so as to provide an indication of the reliability during normal use.		
Ecosystems	The ability of a system to maintain function even with changes in internal structure or external environment [18].		
Control Theory	The degree to which a system is insensitive to effects that are not considered in the design [19].		
Statistics	A robust statistical technique is insensitive against small deviations in the assumptions [20].		
Design Optimization	A robust solution in an optimization problem is one that has the best performance under its worst case (max-min rule) [21].		
Bayesian Decision Making	By introducing a wide class of priors and loss functions, the elements of subjectivity and sensitivity to a narrow class of choices, are both reduced [22]		
Language	The robustness of languageis a measure of the ability of human speakers to communicate despite incomplete information, ambiguity, and the constant element of surprise [23].		



What are the attributes of robustness?

- Design codes have so far focused on inherent properties of the structures (components)
 - redundancy
 - ductility
- More recently focus has been directed to:
 - system performance (removal of members)
 - structural ties

What are the attributes of robustness?

The material loss cost consequences due to the collapse of the two WTC towers only comprised ¼ of the total costs due to damaged or lost material

It seems relevant to include consequences in the robustness equation !

and these are scenario dependent !





What are the attributes of robustness?

The material loss cost consequences due to the collapse of the two WTC towers only comprised ¼ of the total costs due to damaged or lost material

It seems relevant to include consequences in the robustness equation !

and these are scenario dependent !



Which are the attributes of robustness?

• The system definition is important because it defines the consequences following structural failures



How to frame robustness?

 Engineered systems have certain characteristics of generic nature – concept developed in the JCSS



How to frame robustness?

This concept is also the idea behind the Eurocodes



Assessment of the probability of occurence of different hazards with different intensities Assessment of the probability of different states of damage and corresponding consequences for given hazards Assessment of the probability of inadequate performance(s) of the damaged structure together with the corresponding consequence(s)



How to frame robustness?

Scenario representation	Physical characteristics	Indicators	Potential consequences
Exposure	Flood Ship impact Explosion/Fire Earthquake Vehicle impact Wind loads Traffic loads Deicing salt Water	Use/functionality Location Environment Design life Societal importance	
	Carbon dioxide		
Vulnerability	Yielding Rupture Cracking Fatigue Wear Spalling Erosion Corrosion	Design codes Design target reliability Age Materials Quality of workmanship Condition Protective measures	Direct consequences Repair costs Temporary loss or reduced functionality Small number of injuries/fatalities Minor socio-economic losses Minor damages to environment
Robustness	Loss of functionality partial collapse full collapse	Ductility Joint characteristics Redundancy Segmentation Condition control/monitoring Emergency preparedness	Indirect consequences Repair costs Temporary loss or reduced functionality Mid to large number of injuries/fatalities Moderate to major socio- economic losses Moderate to major damages to
			environment

- Desirable properties of a robustness measure
 - Applicable to general systems
 - Allows for ranking of alternative systems
 - Provides a criterion for identifying acceptable robustness





An assessment framework







Features of the proposed index

I_{Rob} = Direct Risk Direct Risk + Indirect Risk

- Assumes values between zero and one
- Measures relative risk only
- Dependent upon the probability of damage occurrence
- Dependent upon consequences