Risk and Safety in Civil, Surveying and Environmental Engineering

Prof. Dr. Michael Havbro Faber Swiss Federal Institute of Technology ETH Zurich, Switzerland



- Introduction to Decision Theory
 - The problem
 - The decision tree
 - Prior decision analysis
 - Posterior decision analysis
 - Pre-posterior decision analysis



Ventilation island Ramp Tunnel Solution A and E Coast Ventilation island Ramp Tunnel Solution D Coast Ventilation island

Main span

Main span

Coast

Ramp

Coast

Coast

Ramp

Coast

Ramp

Approach span

Approach span

Ramp

Coast

Ramp


Solution C

Coast

Ramp

Approach span

Approach span





Approach

- Formulation of the decision problem
 - The decision maker and the preferences of the decision maker must be identified
 - Mapping of the decision process
 - All the possible decision alternatives must be identified
 - Identification of the contributing uncertainties
- Identification of potential consequences and their utility (cost/benefit)
- Assessment of the probabilities of the consequences
- Comparison of the different decision alternatives based on their expected utilities
- Final decision making and reporting of the assumptions underlying the selected alternative

Decision Analysis in Engineering Pile The decision tree Depth of rock bed 7777 40ft or 50ft ? 7////// Action alternatives Outcome Consequence u(consequence) depth=40 ft 0 none 40 ft Pile splice 400 depth=50 ft depth=40 ft cutting 100 50 ft Pile 0 none depth=50 ft

Assignment of utility

- The assignment of utility must reflect the preferences of the decision maker
- Utility functions may be defined as linear functions in monetary unity
- It is important to include all monetary consequences in the utility function $u(a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_j \cdot u(K_j)$

 $u(a_i)...$ Utility (cost/benefit) associated with action a_i


- $p_j \cdot u(K_j)$... Expected utility associated with consequence K_j
- $p_j \dots$ Probability of the occurrence of the consequence K_j
- $u(K_j)$... Utility associated with the consequence K_j
- K_j ... A potential consequence associated with the action a_i


The different types of decision analysis


- Prior
- Posterior
- Pre-posterior

Illustrated on an example :

Question : What pile length should be applied ?








 \implies Choice of pile a_1 (50ft Pile)

Posterior Analysis

$$P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{j} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Posterior probability of } \theta_{i} \\ \text{with given sample outcome} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Normalising} \\ \text{constant} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Sample likelihood} \\ \text{given } \theta \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \text{prior probability} \\ \text{of } \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Posterior Analysis



$$P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{j} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$$

Posterior Analysis

Ultrasonic tests to determine the depth to bed rock

True state	θο	θ_1
Test result	40 ft – depth	50 ft – depth
z_0 - 40 ft indicated	0.6	0.1
z_1 - 50 ft indicated	0.1	0.7
z_2 - 45 ft indicated	0.3	0.2

Likelihoods of the different indications/test results given the various possible states of nature – ultrasonic test methods $P[z_i|\theta_j]$


Posterior Analysis It is assumed that a test gives a 45 ft indication

$$P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{j} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$$

$$P''[\theta_0] = P[\theta_0|z_2] \propto P[z_2|\theta_0] P[\theta_0] = 0.3 \ x \ 0.7 = 0.21$$
$$P''[\theta_1] = P[\theta_1|z_2] \propto P[z_2|\theta_1] P[\theta_1] = 0.2 \ x \ 0.3 = 0.06$$
$$P''[\theta_0|z_2] = \frac{0.21}{0.21 + 0.06} = 0.78$$
$$P''[\theta_1|z_2] = \frac{0.06}{0.21 + 0.06} = 0.22$$


Posterior Analysis

Test result indicates 45ft to rock bed



Posteriori Analysis

 $E''[u|z_2] = \min_{i} \{E''[u(a_i)|z_2]\}$



 $= \min\{P''[\theta_0] \times 0 + P''[\theta_1] \times 400, P''[\theta_0] \times 100 + P''[\theta_1] \times 0\}$ $= \min\{0.78 \times 0 + 0.22 \times 400, 0.78 \times 100 + 0.22 \times 0\}$

 $= \min\{88, 78\} = 78$

 $\implies Choice of alternative a_1 (50 ft Pile)$

Pre-posterior Analysis

$$E[u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times E''[u|z_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times \min_{j=1,m} \{E''[u(a_j)|z_i]\}$$

$$P'[z_i] = P[z_i|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_i|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1]$$


$$P'[z_0] = P[z_0|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_0|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.6 \times 0.7 + 0.1 \times 0.3 = 0.45$$

$$P'[z_1] = P[z_1|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_1|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.1 \times 0.7 + 0.7 \times 0.3 = 0.28$$

$$P'[z_2] = P[z_2|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_2|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.3 \times 0.7 + 0.2 \times 0.3 = 0.27$$

Pre-posterior Analysis

$$E''[u|z_0] = \min_{j} \{E''[u(a_j)|z_0]\} =$$



 $\min\{P''[\theta_0|z_0] \times 0 + P''[\theta_1|z_0] \times 400, P''[\theta_0|z_0] \times 100 + P''[\theta_1|z_0] \times 0\}$ $\min\{0.93 \times 0 + 0.07 \times 400, 0.93 \times 100 + 0.07 \times 0\} =$

 $0.07 \times 400 + 0.93 \times 0 = 28$

Pre-posterior Analysis

$$E''[u|z_{1}] = \min_{j} \{E''[u(a_{j})|z_{1}]\} = \mathbf{a}_{0} \qquad \mathbf{a}_{1} \qquad \mathbf{a}_{0} \qquad \mathbf{a}_{1} \qquad \mathbf{b}_{0} \qquad \mathbf{b}_{0} \text{ nothing} \qquad \mathbf{b}_{0} \text{$$

Pre-posterior Analysis

The minimum expected costs based on pre-posterior decision analysis – not including costs of experiments

$$E[u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times E''[u|z_i] = 28 \times 0.45 + 25 \times 0.28 + 78 \times 0.27 = 40.66$$

Allowable costs for the experiment

$$E'[u] - E[u] = 70.00 - 40.66 = 29.34$$

