Statistics and Probability Theory in Civil, Surveying and Environmental

Engineering

Prof. Dr. Michael Havbro Faber Swiss Federal Institute of Technology ETH Zurich, Switzerland



A Summary of the Lecture

Graphical/numerical interpretation of data



Verification and testing of models



Basic probability theory



Bayesian modeling



Distribution functions moments and extremes



Basic reliability analysis



Modeling and Description of data



Basic decision analysis



- Introduction to Decision Theory
 - The problem
 - The decision tree
 - Prior decision analysis
 - Posterior decision analysis
 - Pre-posterior decision analysis



• The basic engineering problem



Approach

- Formulation of the decision problem
 - The decision maker and the preferences of the decision maker must be identified
 - Mapping of the decision process
 - All the possible decision alternatives must be identified
 - Identification of the contributing uncertainties
- Identification of potential consequences and their utility (cost/benefit)
- Assessment of the probabilities of the consequences
- Comparison of the different decision alternatives based on their expected utilities
- Final decision making and reporting of the assumptions underlying the selected alternative



Assignment of utility

- The assignment of utility must reflect the preferences of the decision maker
- Utility functions may be defined as linear functions in monetary unity
- It is important to include all monetary consequences in the utility function

$$u(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \cdot u(K_j)$$

- $u(a_i)...$ Utility (cost/bene fit) associated with action a_i
- $p_i \cdot u(K_i)$... Expected utility associated with consequence K_i
- p_i ... Probabilit y of the occurrence of the consequence K_i
- $u(K_j)$... Ut ility associated with the consequence K_j

 K_{j} ... A potential consequence associated with the action a_{i}

The different types of decision analysis

- Prior
- Posterior
- Pre-posterior

Illustrated on an example :

Question : What pile length should be applied ?







 \Rightarrow Choice of pile a_1 (50ft Pfahl)

Posterior Analysis

$$P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{j} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Posterior probability of } \theta_{i} \\ \text{with given sample outcome} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Normalizing} \\ \text{constant} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Samplelikelihood} \\ \text{given } \theta \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \text{prior probability} \\ \text{of } \theta \end{pmatrix}$



$$P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{i} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$$

Posterior Analysis

Ultrasonic tests to determine the depth to bed rock

True state	θ	θ_l
Test result	40 ft – depth	50 ft – depth
z_0 - 40 ft indicated	0.6	0.1
z_1 - 50 ft indicated	0.1	0.7
z_2 - 45 ft indicated	0.3	0.2

Likelihoods of the different indications/test results given the various possible states of nature – ultrasonic test methods



Decision Analysis in Engineering $P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k|\theta_i]P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{i} P[z_k|\theta_j]P'[\theta_j]}$

Posterior Analysis

It is assumed that a test gives a 45 ft indication

$$P''[\theta_0] = P[\theta_0|z_2] \propto P[z_2|\theta_0] P[\theta_0] = 0.3 \ x \ 0.7 = 0.21$$
$$P''[\theta_1] = P[\theta_1|z_2] \propto P[z_2|\theta_1] P[\theta_1] = 0.2 \ x \ 0.3 = 0.06$$

$$P'' \Big[\theta_0 \Big| z_2 \Big] = \frac{0.21}{0.21 + 0.06} = 0.78$$
$$P'' \Big[\theta_1 \Big| z_2 \Big] = \frac{0.06}{0.21 + 0.06} = 0.22$$

Posterior Analysis





 $= \min\{P''[\theta_0] \times 0 + P''[\theta_1] \times 400, P''[\theta_0] \times 100 + P''[\theta_1] \times 0\}$ $= \min\{0.78 \times 0 + 0.22 \times 400, 0.78 \times 100 + 0.22 \times 0\}$

 $= \min\{88, 78\} = 78$

$\implies \text{Choice of alternative } a_1 \\ \text{(50ft Pile)}$

Pre-posterior Analysis

$$E[u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times E''[u|z_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times \min_{j=1,m} \{E''[u(a_j)|z_i]\}$$

$$P'[z_i] = P[z_i|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_i|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1]$$

$$P'[z_0] = P[z_0|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_0|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.6 \times 0.7 + 0.1 \times 0.3 = 0.45$$

$$P'[z_1] = P[z_1|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_1|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.1 \times 0.7 + 0.7 \times 0.3 = 0.28$$

$$P'[z_2] = P[z_2|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_2|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.3 \times 0.7 + 0.2 \times 0.3 = 0.27$$

Pre-posterior Analysis

 $E''[u|z_0] = \min_{j} \{E''[u(a_j)|z_0]\} =$



 $0.07 \times 400 + 0.93 \times 0 = 28$

Pre-posterior Analysis

$$E''[u|z_{1}] = \min_{j} \{E''[u(a_{j})|z_{1}]\} = \frac{a_{0}}{do \text{ nothing splicing cutting do nothing}}$$
$$\min_{i} \{P''[\theta_{0}|z_{1}] \times 0 + P''[\theta_{1}|z_{1}] \times 400, P''[\theta_{0}|z_{1}] \times 100 + P''[\theta_{1}|z_{1}] \times 0\}$$
$$\min_{i} \{0.25 \times 0 + 0.75 \times 400, 0.25 \times 100 + 0.75 \times 0\} =$$

 $0.25 \times 100 + 0.75 \times 0 = 25$

Pre-posterior Analysis

The minimum expected costs based on pre-posterior decision analysis – not including costs of experiments

$$E[u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times E''[u|z_i] = 28 \times 0.45 + 25 \times 0.28 + 78 \times 0.27 = 40.66$$

Allowable costs for the experiment

$$E'[u] - E[u] = 70.00 - 40.66 = 29.34$$

